UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/592,965	12/01/2008	Peter Stephen Cross	007733.P012	4076
8791 7590 01/13/2011 BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY SUNDYVALE CA 04085 4040			EXAMINER	
			HIXSON, CHRISTOPHER	
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1777	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/13/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/592,965	CROSS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	CHRISTOPHER A. HIXSON	1777
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the course the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 5 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under 	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ✓ Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ✓ Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Solution is required if the drawing(s) is continuous.	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applica prity documents have been recei au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date

Application/Control Number: 10/592,965 Page 2

Art Unit: 1777

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-8, 14, 28, 30-38, 41, 43, and 44, drawn to a sampler having as few as one sensor system.

Group II, claim(s) 9-13, 15-27, 29, 39, 40, 42, and 45, drawn to a sampler having at least two distinct sensors.

Group III, claim(s) 46-48, drawn to a method of intermittent extraction.

Group IV, claim(s) 49-51, drawn to a method to aid in the detection of mastitis.

Group V, claim(s) 52 and 53, drawn to a method for sensing single-phase fluid, froth, or gas medium in a collection recess of a sampler.

2. The groups of inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons.

Group I lacks unity of invention from Group II a posteriori, since all of the features of claim 9 are already known in the art. For example, Woolford et al. (USP 5,052,341) (Woolford) discloses a machine which has a collection recess adapted to separate substantially single-phase fluid from a multi-phase fluid (Fig. 1, chamber 12, col. 3, lines 55-65), an extraction outlet (pipe 18), at least one fluid sensor system capable of sensing the presence of a minimum volume of the fluid or gas in the collection recess (electrodes 17 and 18, col. 4, lines 25-57), and a fluid controller capable of controlling flow from the collection recess via the extraction outlet (valve 23, col. 4, lines 52-68). His fluid system is further characterized in that it includes at least two distinct sensors capable of using distinct properties of the fluid or gas to determine the presence and/or state of the sample volume present in the collection recess, i.e., using inter-electrode conductance between electrodes 17 and 18, col. 4, lines 25-50, and using the sleeve 17a to measure the absolute milk conductivity to be measured, col. 5, lines 3-9).

Art Unit: 1777

Group I lacks unity from Groups III, IV, and V because they have the same common technical features shown to be known above or share no common technical features.

Groups II, III, IV, and V, all lack unity of invention with each other, a posteriori, since all of the features of claim 9 are known in art as laid out above, and all of the common features linking these groups are the details of claim 9.

3. A telephone call was made to Lester Vincent on 11 Jan 2011 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 CFR 1.475(a)), applicant must provide reasons in support thereof. Applicant may submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. Where such evidence or admission is provided by applicant, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number: 10/592,965 Page 4

Art Unit: 1777

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHRISTOPHER A. HIXSON whose telephone number is (571)270-5027. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8 am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vickie Kim can be reached on (571)272-0579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

1/11/2011

/Yelena G. Gakh/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1777

/cah/